

Statement

By

H.E. Ambassador A. A. Soltanieh

Resident Representative to the IAEA

At the Board of Governors

9 June 2011

Item 7(f)

In the Name of God

The Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

**Mr. Chairman,**

Associating fully to the statement by NAM delivered by distinguished Ambassador of Egypt,

I have to declare the following:

- 1- The Issue of Syria is a clear evidence of the instrumental use of the international organizations specifically IAEA and the UNSC.
- 2- A sovereign Member State of the IAEA has been attacked by Zionist regime of Israel, having the dark record of violation of all international laws. Its very existence is by occupation, expelling millions of innocent Palestinian from their homelands and massacring thousands of children and women.
- 3- Silence of the United Nations vis-à-vis the aggression which a clear breach of the UN Charter is shameful. Another dark chapter which confirms the legitimate demand for a reform of the UNSC.
- 4- Director General was officially requested to investigate the source of contamination at the bombard site by taking samples from the Israeli missile launcher. DG has not taken any action. I remind that the principle function of the IAEA related to verification is based on nuclear material. How come DG permit himself to make any conclusion based on couple of satellite imageries received for

US and Israeli intelligence as well as some commercial companies. Agency has to only conclude based on non-diversion of nuclear material.

5- I recall that according to the Agency's resolution 533 of 1990, any threat or attack against nuclear facilities under construction or under operation constitute violation of UN Charter, IAEA Statute and the international laws. The UNSC must immediately act upon according this resolution. The world faces the shameful silence of the UNSC and some western countries particularly the allies of Zionist regime supporting at any price even damaging the image of their nations. The international community has to know about this bitter facts and the unjust situation we are facing in this century in such international arena. The matter is very simple even if the claim of the aggressor is true then it has to be condemned and according article XIX of the Statute and based on resolution 533 has to be deprived from the rights and privileges of membership of the IAEA.

6- The draft of resolution proposed by the United States has serious legal and technical problem. I only mention some:

A- According to paragraph C of the article XII, non-compliance has to be recognized by the inspectors then to be reported to the DG where he does report to the Board of Governors. In case of Syria this is not applied. There is no non-compliance. Even if it was a project to construct a reactor it would be considering a failure of delay in reporting civil construction. As I said the basis of judgment has to be the diversion of nuclear material to military purpose. Which is not applied to Syrian case.

B- The article XII-C written decades ago was for the "recipient states" that has miss-used the nuclear material received from the Agency and diverted to military purpose. The phrase "Recipient State or States" in this article speak for itself. Syria has not received nuclear material from Agency to use in Dair-Alzor site. Therefore this article does not apply to Syria.

### **Conclusion:**

1- Zionist Regime has to be condemned for the violation of the UN Charter and the IAEA Statute.

2- A resolution has to be submitted to GC condemning violation of Israel. The attack and the delay in provision of information to the Agency have jeopardized the verification of the Agency.

3- Secretariat has to continue technical verification activities specifically sampling missile launcher in Israel and report the result of the analysis of contamination.

4- The experience of involvement of UNSC should have taught the proponents of resolution a lesson on that it only complicates the situation.

5- If the Board adopts the draft resolution it gives clear signal that those member voting for do not have any respect for the majority of member states, including over 100 countries of NAM.

6- Considering all above facts and having confidence on your skill and good will, I recommend you Mr. chairman to adjourn the meeting, conduct consultation and give a chance, not to open a new chapter of confrontation and further involvement of UNSC which surely further damage the integrity and credibility of the Agency

7- If the UNSC is once again involved in the IAEA matters, by adoption of the draft resolution, DG is fully accountable for the consequences including damaging the credibility and authority of the Agency since his unbalance, inconclusive, politically motivated, hasty and careless report, which he submitted upon the request of the United States has pave the way. The United States as well as those voting this draft which lacks any legally and technical basis has to share the blame of the consequence specially the polarization and confrontational environment.

Thanks